FEB 0 9 2004 PARADEMARTING

Drage # Aff 12881

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of

Daniel A. Lawlyes

Group Art Unit: 8146

Serial No.: 09/928,884

Examiner: Michael L. Lindinger

Filed:

August 14, 2001

For:

PARTITIONED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY

Attorney Docket No.: DEL 0192 PA (DP-304830)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☑ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Appeal Brief, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Doner a Horos

Signature

Date: 7-4-2004

KAREN A HOPF

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The following is an Appeal Brief pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed on December 4, 2003, the two month date expiring Wednesday, February 4, 2004, for the

02/11/2004 AWDNDAF1 00000108 500476 09928884

01 FC:1402

330.00 DA

above identified application. Please charge the filing fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0476.

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest in this matter is Delphi Technologies, Troy, Michigan (hereinafter "Delphi").

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other known appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

III. Status of the Claims

Claims 8-17 stand rejected in the Final Office Action. A copy of the claims on appeal is attached as an Appendix.

IV. Status of Amendments Filed After Final

There have been no amendments filed subsequent to the final office action mailed August 5, 2003.

V. Summary of the Invention

The present application is for an automotive engine controller 10 comprised of a main assembly board 12 (page 3, line 1) and a main assembly housing 20 (page 3, par 16). The present invention further includes a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly 14 having a partitioned circuit element 22 *(page 3, par 16), mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 24, and a plurality of connectors 26. The plurality of connectors 26 place the partitioned circuit element 22 into communication with the main assembly board 12 when the partitioned circuit assembly 14 is inserted into the main assembly board 12 (page 3, par 16). The invention can further include a heat

sink element 36 formed as a portion of the partitioned circuit assembly 14 such that each partitioned circuit can supply its own cooling requirements (page 4, par 17). Passivation material 38 positioned within the partitioned circuit housing 24 to surround and protect the partitioned circuit element 22 (page 4, par 18). A seal element 30 can be utilized to seal the partitioned circuit assembly 14 to the main assembly housing 20 upon insertion of the portioned circuit assembly 14 into the main assembly housing 20 (page 4, par 16). The use of main assembly ports (Figure 1) and communication ports 16 allow for sealed insertion of the partitioned circuit assembly 14 as well as the sealed attachment of communication devices such as cables.

There are two (2) independent claims. Claim 8 specifically recites a main assembly board 12, a main assembly housing 20, and a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly 14 including a partitioned circuit element 22 mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 24 and a plurality of connectors 26. Claim 8 further recites the limitation wherein the plurality of connectors 26 place the partitioned circuit element 22 into communication with the main assembly board 12 when the partitioned circuit assembly 14 is inserted into the main assembly board 12. Independent claim 15 recites limitations directed solely to the partitioned circuit assembly 14.

Figures 1-4 illustrate the automotive engine controller 10.

VI. Issues

The following issues are presented in this appeal, the issues correspond directly to the Examiner's final grounds for rejection in the Final Office Action:

(1) Whether claims 8 and 13-15 are patentable under 35 USC 102(b) over Natsume (US 5,764,487).

(2) Whether claims 9-12 and 16-17 are patentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Natsume (US 5,764,487) in view of Denzene (US 6,219,258).

VII. Grouping of Claims

The rejected claims have been grouped together by the Examiner in both of the rejections.

VIII. Argument

Ground 1 rejections

The Applicant respectfully asserts that ground 1 as defined above 35 USC 102(b) over Natsume (US 5,764,487) is improper and should be overturned. The Examiner asserts that Natsume teaches an engine controller comprising a main assembly board 28, a main assembly housing 24,26, and a pre-assembled partitioned circuit assembly having a partitioned circuit element 16 mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 22 and a plurality of connectors 32. The Examiner further asserts that Natsume teaches at least one main assembly port 20 and that the partitioned circuit assembly can be inserted into this port through the main housing. The Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections, and requests reevaluation of these claims by the Board in light of the foregoing arguments.

The Applicant asserts most significantly that the wiring harnesses 12, relays 14 and fuses 16 (of the Natsume reference) are not partitioned circuit assemblies as claimed by the present invention. They are in fact electrical components used within a circuit assembly but are not circuit assemblies themselves. Although this assertion throughout prosecution is sufficient support within the prosecution history to both

support an allowance as well as adequately serve as a limitation, the Applicant respectfully calls the Board's attention to paragraph 15 of the present application. In it the Applicant has described partitioned circuits as adding functions to the main assembly 12. Thus circuits that perform functions are claimed, not mere components within a single circuit.

Additionally, the Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's assertion that an engine controller is taught by the Natsume reference. The Applicant respectfully calls the Board's attention to column 3 lines 32-34 of the Natsume reference. Natsume teaches a fuse panel located within the passenger compartment of a vehicle. This is not the engine controller claimed by the present invention. Engine controllers are well known elements within vehicle design and are commonly situated within the engine compartment and not the passenger compartment. The large temperature fluctuations and corrosive environment associated with the engine compartment are not shared by the passenger compartment. Henceforth, the common placement of removable components such as fuses within the passenger compartment would not teach an engine controller with removable partitioned circuits as claimed by the present invention. The Examiner has asserted that there exists no limitation limiting the claims to an automotive engine controller as asserted by the Petitioner. The Petitioner, however, calls the Board's attention to the prosecution history wherein the Petitioner has clearly and unambiguously limited the claim scope to an automotive engine controller (Response filed September 30, 2002; response March 3, 2003). The clear and unambiguous limitation of the scope of the claims in these response provides a clear limitation on the claim (Omega Engineering Inc, v. Raytek Corp. 334 F.3d 1314, 67 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321, Fed. Cir.(Conn.), Jul 07, 2003). The Applicant, therefore, requests the Board's reconsideration.

Ground 2 rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 5, 9, 12, and 16 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natsume (US 5,764,487) in view of Denzene (US 6,219,258 B1). The Examiner acknowledges that Natsume does not teach a press-assembled partition further including a heat sink, the use of passivation material, or a seal element. The office action states, however, that it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to adapt the pre-assembled circuit assembly of the Natsume reference to include a heat sink, passivation material, and a seal element. The office action further asserts "the applicant is merely attempting to remedy a common problem within the electronics industry, and thus not providing an improvement on an existing product". The Applicant respectfully seeks the Board's reconsideration on this rejection as well.

The applicant respectfully asserts that neither the Natsume nor the Denzene reference, either alone nor in combination, teaches an automotive engine controller with a partitioned circuit assembly. Furthermore, the Applicant asserts that the Denzene patent represents non-analogous art. The Denzene patent teaches a circuit assembly for use on outdoor telecommunications boxes. Although these boxes do experience environmental conditions, in general they do not come anywhere near the conditions experienced by an engine controller as claimed by the present invention. The present invention utilizes a partitioned circuit assembly to address an automotive engine controller used in a high vibrational, high temperature, and highly corrosive

environment. Similarly, as asserted, the Natsume reference teaches the use of a fuse panel within the passenger compartment of a vehicle. Not only are the components of Natsume not subjected to the environment of an engine compartment, they are not even circuit assemblies. Neither the Natsume patent nor the Denzene patent, either alone or in combination, address or teach such a structure and therefore are inappropriate to use as prior art.

The Examiner summarily dismissed the limitations of each partitioned circuit having its own heat sink as merely a multiplied effect of what is known in the art; the use of a seal as routine skill in the art; and the use of passivation material as taught by Denzene. The Applicant respectfully requests the Board's reconsideration. Applicant would further like to note that the present application has not simply claimed a heat sink to cool an electronic apparatus as asserted by the examiner. The Applicant calls the Board's attention to the fact that the application has claimed an individual heat sink (see Claim 8) associated with each partitioned circuit portion of an engine controller. The Examiner's assertion that it would be obvious to "adapt the pre-assembled circuit assembly of the Natsume reference to include a heat sink, passivation material, and a seal element" is flawed. The components of Natsume are just that, (fuses, relays, etc). Their size and functions would make individualize heat sinks illogical. The present invention claims "circuit assemblies" which represent a collection of electronic components in the form of a circuit assembly. To individually heat sink partitioned circuits within the engine controller is significantly more that simply (heat sinking a component). Improper attention has been given to this novelty and patentably distinct limitations. Just as the knowledge of a resister may be well known in the electronics industry, its use in particular grouping or arrangement may still be patentably distinct and novel. Present engine controllers commonly utilize a single heat sink arrangement to cool their electronics. By utilizing independent cooling on the partitioned circuit portions of the engine controller, specific heat generating components can be specifically addressed while heat from such components can be isolated from the central controller (see paragraph 17, page 4). This provides a novel utility not associated with present engine controller designs. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, the Applicant asserts that insufficient reasoning has been provided to support an obviousness rejection. No structural comparison between prior art engine controller heat sink designs and those claimed by the present invention were asserted or discussed by the office action.

Furthermore, the use of a seal should not be isolated and dismissed so easily. It must be considered in light of the combination of limitations contained in the underlying independent claim. The use of individual partitioned circuit assemblies that can be plugged into the main circuit assembly of an automotive engine controller that seal into the main controller housing cannot and should not be dismissed as simply claiming the use of a seal. The cited art fails to teach these limitations as well. The seal element 110 disclosed by the Denzene patent does not, in fact, seal the portioned assembly to the main assembly as claimed by the present invention. Instead, the Denzene patent teaches the use of a seal element 110 to seal the connectors only. Its usage is strictly to protect the electrical connections, not seal the partitioned assembly to the main assembly as claimed by the present invention. The Denzene patent uses a conformal coating (see col. 7, lines 8-10) to seal the partitioned

circuit, but do not attempt to seal the circuit to the main assembly. Furthermore, the Applicant notes that the thin layer approach to conformal coatings, as taught by Denzene and used in the industry, are commonly applied in thin layers that would not substantially reduce damage to the components due to vibration. The use of the passivation layer as taught by the present invention, however, provides a thick application helping the electronic components withstand vibration. The Applicant notes that an outdoor telecommunications box, commonly mounted stationary objects outdoors, is by nature not intended to be subjected to the vibrations experienced by any object mounted within inches of a six-cylinder engine rotating at 6000 rpm's while traveling over an imperfect blacktop surface at speeds of over fifty miles per hour.

Furthermore, the Applicant would like to explain that automotive engine controllers are commonly formed as single permanently assembled units. The units are assembled in this fashion to conserve space, lower cost, and insure proper sealing and protection from the environment. The components installed into automobiles are expected to run for thousands of miles in temperatures ranging from Arizona heat to Michigan snow while driving over potholes and curbs. Therefore, the concept of utilizing plug-in components for critical components positioned in the most hostile environment of the automobile is not intuitive as the critical nature of such components makes the loss of contact from such an element due to vibration unacceptable. The use of similar systems in low vibrational applications such as telecommunications or home computer usage, therefore, does not render the use in such a structure designed for such a drastically difference application and environment

Serial No. 09/928,884 10 DEL 0192 PA

obvious. It is one thing to contemplate plug in cables, wherein the flexibility and

relatively low mass of the cable may have little impact, it is another to subject an

entire partitioned circuit to such loading. Therefore, the Applicant asserts that the

claimed engine controller is in a significantly different field and therefore patentably

distinct.

The Applicant thereby requests the Board to review the Examiner's rejection of

these claims. Therefore, because the references are believed to be not properly

combinable to arrive at the limitations of the present invention, Applicant respectfully

requests the Board to reverse the Examiner's rejections.

IX. Appendix

A copy of each of the claims involved in this appeal, namely claims 8-17, is

attached hereto as Appendix A.

X. Conclusion

For the reasons advanced above, Applicant respectfully contends that each

claim is patentable. Therefore, reversal of all rejections is requested.

ARTZ & ARTZ PC

Thomas E. Donohue

Registration No. 44,660

28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250

Southfield, MI 48034

(248) 223-9500

Dated: February 4, 2004

APPENDIX A

- 1-7. (Cancelled)
- 8. An engine controller comprising:
 - a main assembly board;
 - a main assembly housing; and
- a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly having a partitioned circuit element mounted within a partitioned circuit housing and a plurality of connectors, said plurality of connectors placing said partitioned circuit element in communication with said main assembly board when said partitioned circuit assembly is inserted into said main assembly board.
- 9. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a heat sink element.
- 10. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes passivation material positioned within said partitioned circuit housing.
- 11. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a seal element such that said partitioned circuit assembly becomes sealed to said main assembly housing after said partitioned circuit assembly is inserted into said main assembly board.
- 12. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a heat sink element, said partitioned circuit element mounted to said heat sink element using thermally conductive material.

- 13. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said main assembly housing includes at least one main assembly port, said at least one main assembly port allowing said partitioned circuit assembly to be inserted into said main assembly board through main assembly housing.
- 14. An engine controller as described in claim 8 further comprising at least one communication port therein.
- 15. A partitioned circuit assembly for integration into an engine controller comprising:
 - a partitioned circuit element;
- a partitioned circuit housing surrounding said partitioned circuit element; and
 - a plurality of connectors.
- 16. A partitioned circuit assembly as described in claim 15 wherein said partitioned circuit housing includes a heat sink portion, said partitioned circuit element being mounted to said heat sink portion using a thermal connective adhesive.
- 17. A partitioned circuit assembly as described in claim 15 wherein the partitioned circuit assembly may be removably mounted to the engine controller.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of

Daniel A. Lawlyes

Group Art Unit: 8146

Serial No.: 09/928,884

Examiner: Michael L. Lindinger

Filed:

August 14, 2001

For:

PARTITIONED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY

Attorney Docket No.: DEL 0192 PA (DP-304830)

	CERTIFICATE OF	MAILING/TRANSMISSION	(37 C.F.R. §	§ 1.8(a))
--	-----------------------	----------------------	--------------	-----------

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☑ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Appeal Brief, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Donar a Horos

Signature

Date: 3-4-2004

KAREN A HOPE

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The following is an Appeal Brief pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed on December 4, 2003, the two month date expiring Wednesday, February 4, 2004, for the

above identified application. Please charge the filing fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0476.

2

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest in this matter is Delphi Technologies, Troy, Michigan (hereinafter "Delphi").

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other known appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

III. Status of the Claims

Claims 8-17 stand rejected in the Final Office Action. A copy of the claims on appeal is attached as an Appendix.

IV. Status of Amendments Filed After Final

There have been no amendments filed subsequent to the final office action mailed August 5, 2003.

V. Summary of the Invention

The present application is for an automotive engine controller 10 comprised of a main assembly board 12 (page 3, line 1) and a main assembly housing 20 (page 3, par 16). The present invention further includes a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly 14 having a partitioned circuit element 22 *(page 3, par 16), mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 24, and a plurality of connectors 26. The plurality of connectors 26 place the partitioned circuit element 22 into communication with the main assembly board 12 when the partitioned circuit assembly 14 is inserted into the main assembly board 12 (page 3, par 16). The invention can further include a heat

sink element 36 formed as a portion of the partitioned circuit assembly 14 such that each partitioned circuit can supply its own cooling requirements (page 4, par 17). Passivation material 38 positioned within the partitioned circuit housing 24 to surround and protect the partitioned circuit element 22 (page 4, par 18). A seal element 30 can be utilized to seal the partitioned circuit assembly 14 to the main assembly housing 20 upon insertion of the portioned circuit assembly 14 into the main assembly housing 20 (page 4, par 16). The use of main assembly ports (Figure 1) and communication ports 16 allow for sealed insertion of the partitioned circuit assembly 14 as well as the sealed attachment of communication devices such as cables.

There are two (2) independent claims. Claim 8 specifically recites a main assembly board 12, a main assembly housing 20, and a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly 14 including a partitioned circuit element 22 mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 24 and a plurality of connectors 26. Claim 8 further recites the limitation wherein the plurality of connectors 26 place the partitioned circuit element 22 into communication with the main assembly board 12 when the partitioned circuit assembly 14 is inserted into the main assembly board 12. Independent claim 15 recites limitations directed solely to the partitioned circuit assembly 14.

Figures 1-4 illustrate the automotive engine controller 10.

VI. Issues

The following issues are presented in this appeal, the issues correspond directly to the Examiner's final grounds for rejection in the Final Office Action:

(1) Whether claims 8 and 13-15 are patentable under 35 USC 102(b) over Natsume (US 5,764,487).

(2) Whether claims 9-12 and 16-17 are patentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Natsume (US 5,764,487) in view of Denzene (US 6,219,258).

VII. Grouping of Claims

The rejected claims have been grouped together by the Examiner in both of the rejections.

VIII. Argument

Ground 1 rejections

The Applicant respectfully asserts that ground 1 as defined above 35 USC 102(b) over Natsume (US 5,764,487) is improper and should be overturned. The Examiner asserts that Natsume teaches an engine controller comprising a main assembly board 28, a main assembly housing 24,26, and a pre-assembled partitioned circuit assembly having a partitioned circuit element 16 mounted within a partitioned circuit housing 22 and a plurality of connectors 32. The Examiner further asserts that Natsume teaches at least one main assembly port 20 and that the partitioned circuit assembly can be inserted into this port through the main housing. The Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections, and requests reevaluation of these claims by the Board in light of the foregoing arguments.

The Applicant asserts most significantly that the wiring harnesses 12, relays 14 and fuses 16 (of the Natsume reference) are not partitioned circuit assemblies as claimed by the present invention. They are in fact electrical components used within a circuit assembly but are not circuit assemblies themselves. Although this assertion throughout prosecution is sufficient support within the prosecution history to both

support an allowance as well as adequately serve as a limitation, the Applicant respectfully calls the Board's attention to paragraph 15 of the present application. In it the Applicant has described partitioned circuits as adding functions to the main assembly 12. Thus circuits that perform functions are claimed, not mere components within a single circuit.

Additionally, the Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's assertion that an engine controller is taught by the Natsume reference. The Applicant respectfully calls the Board's attention to column 3 lines 32-34 of the Natsume reference. Natsume teaches a fuse panel located within the passenger compartment of a vehicle. This is not the engine controller claimed by the present invention. Engine controllers are well known elements within vehicle design and are commonly situated within the engine compartment and not the passenger compartment. The large temperature fluctuations and corrosive environment associated with the engine compartment are not shared by the passenger compartment. Henceforth, the common placement of removable components such as fuses within the passenger compartment would not teach an engine controller with removable partitioned circuits as claimed by the present invention. The Examiner has asserted that there exists no limitation limiting the claims to an automotive engine controller as asserted by the Petitioner. The Petitioner, however, calls the Board's attention to the prosecution history wherein the Petitioner has clearly and unambiguously limited the claim scope to an automotive engine controller (Response filed September 30, 2002; response March 3, 2003). The clear and unambiguous limitation of the scope of the claims in these response provides a clear limitation on the claim (Omega Engineering Inc, v. Raytek Corp. 334 F.3d

1314, 67 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321, Fed. Cir.(Conn.), Jul 07, 2003). The Applicant, therefore, requests the Board's reconsideration.

Ground 2 rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 5, 9, 12, and 16 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natsume (US 5,764,487) in view of Denzene (US 6,219,258 B1). The Examiner acknowledges that Natsume does not teach a press-assembled partition further including a heat sink, the use of passivation material, or a seal element. The office action states, however, that it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to adapt the pre-assembled circuit assembly of the Natsume reference to include a heat sink, passivation material, and a seal element. The office action further asserts "the applicant is merely attempting to remedy a common problem within the electronics industry, and thus not providing an improvement on an existing product". The Applicant respectfully seeks the Board's reconsideration on this rejection as well.

The applicant respectfully asserts that neither the Natsume nor the Denzene reference, either alone nor in combination, teaches an automotive engine controller with a partitioned circuit assembly. Furthermore, the Applicant asserts that the Denzene patent represents non-analogous art. The Denzene patent teaches a circuit assembly for use on outdoor telecommunications boxes. Although these boxes do experience environmental conditions, in general they do not come anywhere near the conditions experienced by an engine controller as claimed by the present invention. The present invention utilizes a partitioned circuit assembly to address an automotive engine controller used in a high vibrational, high temperature, and highly corrosive

environment. Similarly, as asserted, the Natsume reference teaches the use of a fuse panel within the passenger compartment of a vehicle. Not only are the components of Natsume not subjected to the environment of an engine compartment, they are not even circuit assemblies. Neither the Natsume patent nor the Denzene patent, either alone or in combination, address or teach such a structure and therefore are inappropriate to use as prior art.

The Examiner summarily dismissed the limitations of each partitioned circuit having its own heat sink as merely a multiplied effect of what is known in the art; the use of a seal as routine skill in the art; and the use of passivation material as taught by The Applicant respectfully requests the Board's reconsideration. Denzene. Applicant would further like to note that the present application has not simply claimed a heat sink to cool an electronic apparatus as asserted by the examiner. The Applicant calls the Board's attention to the fact that the application has claimed an individual heat sink (see Claim 8) associated with each partitioned circuit portion of an engine controller. The Examiner's assertion that it would be obvious to "adapt the pre-assembled circuit assembly of the Natsume reference to include a heat sink, passivation material, and a seal element" is flawed. The components of Natsume are just that, (fuses, relays, etc). Their size and functions would make individualize heat sinks illogical. The present invention claims "circuit assemblies" which represent a collection of electronic components in the form of a circuit assembly. To individually heat sink partitioned circuits within the engine controller is significantly more that simply (heat sinking a component). Improper attention has been given to this novelty and patentably distinct limitations. Just as the knowledge of a resister may be well

known in the electronics industry, its use in particular grouping or arrangement may still be patentably distinct and novel. Present engine controllers commonly utilize a single heat sink arrangement to cool their electronics. By utilizing independent cooling on the partitioned circuit portions of the engine controller, specific heat generating components can be specifically addressed while heat from such components can be isolated from the central controller (see paragraph 17, page 4). This provides a novel utility not associated with present engine controller designs. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, the Applicant asserts that insufficient reasoning has been provided to support an obviousness rejection. No structural comparison between prior art engine controller heat sink designs and those claimed by the present invention were asserted or discussed by the office action.

Furthermore, the use of a seal should not be isolated and dismissed so easily. It must be considered in light of the combination of limitations contained in the underlying independent claim. The use of individual partitioned circuit assemblies that can be plugged into the main circuit assembly of an automotive engine controller that seal into the main controller housing cannot and should not be dismissed as simply claiming the use of a seal. The cited art fails to teach these limitations as well. The seal element 110 disclosed by the Denzene patent does not, in fact, seal the portioned assembly to the main assembly as claimed by the present invention. Instead, the Denzene patent teaches the use of a seal element 110 to seal the connectors only. Its usage is strictly to protect the electrical connections, not seal the partitioned assembly to the main assembly as claimed by the present invention. The

circuit, but do not attempt to seal the circuit to the main assembly. Furthermore, the Applicant notes that the thin layer approach to conformal coatings, as taught by Denzene and used in the industry, are commonly applied in thin layers that would not substantially reduce damage to the components due to vibration. The use of the passivation layer as taught by the present invention, however, provides a thick application helping the electronic components withstand vibration. The Applicant notes that an outdoor telecommunications box, commonly mounted stationary objects outdoors, is by nature not intended to be subjected to the vibrations experienced by any object mounted within inches of a six-cylinder engine rotating at 6000 rpm's while traveling over an imperfect blacktop surface at speeds of over fifty miles per hour.

Furthermore, the Applicant would like to explain that automotive engine controllers are commonly formed as single permanently assembled units. The units are assembled in this fashion to conserve space, lower cost, and insure proper sealing and protection from the environment. The components installed into automobiles are expected to run for thousands of miles in temperatures ranging from Arizona heat to Michigan snow while driving over potholes and curbs. Therefore, the concept of utilizing plug-in components for critical components positioned in the most hostile environment of the automobile is not intuitive as the critical nature of such components makes the loss of contact from such an element due to vibration unacceptable. The use of similar systems in low vibrational applications such as telecommunications or home computer usage, therefore, does not render the use in such a structure designed for such a drastically difference application and environment

Serial No. 09/928,884

10

obvious. It is one thing to contemplate plug in cables, wherein the flexibility and relatively low mass of the cable may have little impact, it is another to subject an entire partitioned circuit to such loading. Therefore, the Applicant asserts that the claimed engine controller is in a significantly different field and therefore patentably distinct.

The Applicant thereby requests the Board to review the Examiner's rejection of these claims. Therefore, because the references are believed to be not properly combinable to arrive at the limitations of the present invention, Applicant respectfully requests the Board to reverse the Examiner's rejections.

IX. Appendix

A copy of each of the claims involved in this appeal, namely claims 8-17, is attached hereto as Appendix A.

X. Conclusion

For the reasons advanced above, Applicant respectfully contends that each claim is patentable. Therefore, reversal of all rejections is requested.

ARTZ & ARTZ PC

Thomas E. Donohue

Registration No. 44,660

28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250

Southfield, MI 48034

(248) 223-9500

Dated: February 4, 2004

APPENDIX A

- 1-7. (Cancelled)
- 8. An engine controller comprising:
 - a main assembly board;
 - a main assembly housing; and
- a pre-assembled partition circuit assembly having a partitioned circuit element mounted within a partitioned circuit housing and a plurality of connectors, said plurality of connectors placing said partitioned circuit element in communication with said main assembly board when said partitioned circuit assembly is inserted into said main assembly board.
- 9. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a heat sink element.
- 10. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes passivation material positioned within said partitioned circuit housing.
- 11. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a seal element such that said partitioned circuit assembly becomes sealed to said main assembly housing after said partitioned circuit assembly is inserted into said main assembly board.
- 12. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said partitioned circuit assembly further includes a heat sink element, said partitioned circuit element mounted to said heat sink element using thermally conductive material.

- 13. An engine controller as described in claim 8 wherein said main assembly housing includes at least one main assembly port, said at least one main assembly port allowing said partitioned circuit assembly to be inserted into said main assembly board through main assembly housing.
- 14. An engine controller as described in claim 8 further comprising at least one communication port therein.
- 15. A partitioned circuit assembly for integration into an engine controller comprising:
 - a partitioned circuit element;
- a partitioned circuit housing surrounding said partitioned circuit element; and
 - a plurality of connectors.
- 16. A partitioned circuit assembly as described in claim 15 wherein said partitioned circuit housing includes a heat sink portion, said partitioned circuit element being mounted to said heat sink portion using a thermal connective adhesive.
- 17. A partitioned circuit assembly as described in claim 15 wherein the partitioned circuit assembly may be removably mounted to the engine controller.

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

2-4-2004

DEL 0192 PA

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Attorney Docket No.

EEE TOANG	CRAITTAI	Complete if Known			
FEE TRANS	SWILLAL	Application Number	09/928,884		
for FY	2004	Filing Date	August 14, 2001		
Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are		First Named Inventor	Daniel A. Lawlyes		
		Examiner Name			
Applicant claims small entity status	. See 37 CFR 1.27	Art Unit			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 330.00	Attorney Docket No.	DEL 0192 PA		

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)					FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Check Credit card Money Other None 3.				3. A	DDITI	ONAL	. FEE	S		
Order D D Order				<u>Large</u>	Entity	Small	Entity			
Deposit A	Account:				Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee Description	F D-:-
Account	50-04	76		İ	1051	130	2051		Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	Fee Paid
Number Deposit	1 - 1		n 0 0		1052		2052		Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or	
Account Name	John A	۹. An	tz, P.C.		1002	30	2002		cover sheet	
	authoriz	ed to:	(check all that apply)		1053		1053		Non-English specification	
Charge fee((s) indicate	ed belo	w Credit any	overpayments		2,520	1812		For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	<u> </u>
Charge any	additiona	l fee(s)	or any underpayment	of fee(s)	1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee((s) indicate	ed belo	w, except for the filing	g fee	1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after	
to the above-ide	entified de	posit a	ccount.		أ				Examiner action	
	FE	E CA	LCULATION		1251		2251		Extension for reply within first month	
1. BASIC FI			4		1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
Large Entity S Fee Fee D	mall Enti Fee Fee		ee Description	Fee Paid	1253		2253		, ,	
	Code (\$)	 '	ee Description	. cc . a.a		1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1001. 770	2001 38	5 .	Utility filing fee	•	1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1002 340	2002 17)	Design filing fee		1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1003 530	2003 26	5	Plant filing fee		1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	<u> </u>
1004 7.70	2004 38	5	Reissue filing fee		1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	├ ───
1005 160	2005 8	0	Provisional filing fee		1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)		1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE			1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	<u> </u>		
Z. EXTRA C	LAIWIF		Fee fr	om	1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	<u> </u>
Tatal Claims			extra Claims below	w Fee Paic	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	الـــــا
Total Claims Independent	\vdash	-20**		┥╄━━	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	L
Claims Multiple Deper	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- 3**	=	┥╄━━	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
		:		」 ₹	1807	50	1807	7 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Large Entity Fee Fee		ntity ee	Fee Description		1806	180	1806		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	ļ
Code (\$)	Code		ree Description		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	l []
1202 18	2202	9	Claims in excess of 2	0	1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection	
1201 86	. 2201	. 43	Independent claims in	excess of 3	1000		200,		(37 ČFR 1.129(a))	
1203 290	2203	145	Multiple dependent cla	aim, if not paid	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be	
1204 86	2204	43	** Reissue independe		4004	770	2801	. 205	examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1005 10			over original patent		1801 1802		1802	900	Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Request for expedited examination	├ ─ ─┤│
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		1002	300	1002		of a design application				
			Other	r fee (sp	ecify) _			<u> </u>		
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above			*Red	uced by	Basic I	Filing F	ee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)			
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable))										
Name (Print/Type		Thom	nas E. Donohue		17	Registra	tion No	. 44	660 Telephone 248-223-9500	
ivaille (Print/Typ	e)	HOLL	ias E. Dononue			Attorney/		44,	1 elebitorie 540-553-9300	1

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

De Included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2036.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature